

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/579,682	Applicant(s) BAKALASH ET AL.	
	Examiner HAU H. NGUYEN	Art Unit 2628	

All Participants:

(1) Hau Nguyen (US PTO).

(2) Thomas Perkowski (Representative).

Date of Interview: 6 May 2010

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

39

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Hau H Nguyen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The specification filed on 5/17/2006 is not consistent with the US PTO records. The examiner suggested Applicant to amend the Specification in section RELATED CASES so that the continuation data to be consistence with the PTO records. Also, claim 39 is advised to be amended to avoid lack of antecedent basis on line 22. The amendment is recorded in the examiner's amendment.